

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	ATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/806,717 03/22/2004		004	Oleg M. Efimov	PD-03W152	PD-03W152 2637	
7590 06/03/2005				EXAMINER		
Patent Docket P.O. Box 902, I		SHAFER,	SHAFER, RICKY D			
2000 E. El Segi		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
El Segundo, C.	A 90245-090	2872				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	TA 1: 1: 1: 1:		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/806,717	EFIMOV ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
TI 444 WO DATE (41)	Ricky D. Shafer	2872	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timey within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 N	<u>1arch 2005</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>l</i>	·		
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4)</li></ul>	31-38 is/are withdrawn from cons	sideration.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to by the Example 2.	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Set tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to be a set of the drawing(s) is objected to be set of the drawing(s).	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail D		

Application/Control Number: 10/806,717 Page 2

Art Unit: 2872

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's election of Species "B", depicted by Fig. 6, in the reply filed on March 01, 2005 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

- 2. Claims 7-9, 14-21, 26-28 and 31-38 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on March 01, 2005.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 43 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese Patent ('417) in view of Van Deventer ('016) or Koyano et al ('081).

Japanese Patent ('417) discloses an optical system comprising circulator means (13) for routing a first signal from a first port (P1) to a second port (P2) and a second signal from the second port to a third port (P3), a third signal from the third port to a fourth port (P4) and a fourth signal from the fourth port to the first port; first reflective means [element (9) of amplifier (20a)] for reflecting a signal output by the second port back into the second port; and second reflective means [element (9) of amplifier (20b)] for reflecting a signal output by the fourth port back into the fourth port, note Figure 2 along with the associated description thereof, except for a

polarization rotation element between the second port and the first reflective means and the fourth port and the second reflective means.

Van Deventer and Koyano et al each teach it is well known to use a polarization rotation element (Retarder/Rotator) between a mirror/reflector and an amplifier of a circulator port in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of suppressing noise/(unwanted signals) to improve the optical amplification of a desired signal.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the respective amplifier branch of Japanese Patent ('417) to include a polarization rotation element and position said polarization rotation element between the mirror/reflector and amplifier as taught by Van Deventer or Koyano et al in order to suppress noise/(unwanted signals) to improve the optical amplification of the desired signal and performance of the optical system.

5. Claim 45 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese Patent ('417) in view of Zhang et al ('861).

Japanese Patent ('417) discloses an optical system comprising circulator means (13) for routing a first signal from a first port (P1) to a second port (P2) and a second signal from the second port to a third port (P3), a third signal from the third port to a fourth port (P4) and a fourth signal from the fourth port to the first port; first reflective means [element (9) of amplifier (20a)] for reflecting a signal output by the second port back into the second port; and second reflective means [element (9) of amplifier (20b)] for reflecting a signal output by the fourth port back into the fourth port, note Figure 2 along with the associated description thereof, except for means for effecting independent control of the signals output by said first and third ports.

Application/Control Number: 10/806,717 Page 4

Art Unit: 2872

Zhang et al teaches it is known to make mirror elements independently adjustable in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of suppressing noise (unwanted signals) and/or increasing optical coupling/transmission.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the reflective means of Japanese Patent ('417) to include independent adjusting means, as taught by Zhang et al, in order to suppress noise/(unwanted signals) and/or increasing optical coupling/transmission so as to improve the optical amplification of the desired signal and performance of the optical system.

6. Claims 1-3, 22, 39-42 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robinson ('202) in view of Kersey et al ('410).

Robinson discloses an optical system comprising circulator means (33) for routing a first signal from a first port (35) to a second port (37) and a second signal from the second port to a third port (39), a third signal from the third port to a fourth port (41) and a fourth signal from the fourth port to the first port; first reflective means (49) for reflecting a signal output by the second port back into the second port; and second reflective means (47) for reflecting a signal output by the fourth port back into the fourth port, note Figure 2 along with the associated description thereof, except for first and second control means for the independently adjusting the phase of the signals output from the third port and the fourth port.

Kersey et al teaches it is known to make reflective elements independently adjustable in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of obtaining a desirable output signal. Application/Control Number: 10/806,717

Art Unit: 2872

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the reflective means of Robinson to include independent adjusting means, as taught by Kersey et al, in order to obtain a desirable output signal of interest.

Page 5

- 7. Claims 4-6 and 23-25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ricky D. Shafer whose telephone number is (571) 272-2320. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/806,717

Art Unit: 2872

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**RDS** 

May 31, 2005

RICKY D. SHAFER
PATENT EXAMINER

Page 6